UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/762,339	01/23/2004	Sergey N. Razumov	59036-039	4969	
	7590 06/19/200 , WILL & EMERY	EXAMINER			
600 13th Street,	, N.W.	ALMATRAHI, FARIS S			
Washington, Do	C 20005-3096		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			3627		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			06/19/2009	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Office Action Summary		Applicat	ion No.	Applicant(s)	Applicant(s)	
		10/762,3	339	RAZUMOV, SERGEY N.		
		Examine	er	Art Unit		
		FARIS A	LMATRAHI	3627		
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this commun or Reply	ication appears on th	ne cover sheet with the	e correspondence ad	ddress	
A SHO WHIC - Exter after - If NO - Failui Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD F CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE M Isions of time may be available under the provisions SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this comn period for reply is specified above, the maximum st re to reply within the set or extended period for reply eply received by the Office later than three months and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	AILING DATE OF T of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no e nunication. atutory period will apply and will, by statute, cause the ap	HIS COMMUNICATION  vent, however, may a reply be will expire SIX (6) MONTHS frouplication to become ABANDO	ON. timely filed om the mailing date of this on NED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	·	
Status						
2a)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) file This action is <b>FINAL</b> .  Since this application is in condition closed in accordance with the practi	2b)⊡ This action is for allowance excep	- non-final. t for formal matters, μ		e merits is	
Dispositi	on of Claims					
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□ 8)□ Applicati	Claim(s) <u>1-38</u> is/are pending in the a 4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>16-38</u> is/ar Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) <u>1-15</u> is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restrict on Papers The specification is objected to by the	re withdrawn from co				
10)	The specification is objected to by th The drawing(s) filed on is/are: Applicant may not request that any obje Replacement drawing sheet(s) including The oath or declaration is objected to	a) ☐ accepted or bection to the drawing(s) the correction is requ	be held in abeyance. Sired if the drawing(s) is	See 37 CFR 1.85(a). objected to. See 37 C	, ,	
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119					
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>						
2)  Notic 3) Inforr	t(s) e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (F nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date	PTO-948)	4) Interview Summa Paper No(s)/Mail 5) Notice of Informa 6) Other:			

Art Unit: 3627

### **DETAILED ACTION**

### Status of the Application

- **1.** This action is in reply to applicant amendment filed March 9, 2009.
- 2. Claims 2 and 14 have been amended.
- 3. Claim 16 has been withdrawn.
- **4.** Claims 1-38 are pending in this application.

### Claim Objections

5. Claims 17-38 are objected to because of the following informalities: Claims 17-38 have improper claim identifier. Claims 17-38 are directed to a non-elected invention and are improperly labeled as (original). Appropriate correction is required.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 6. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
  - The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 7. **Claim 1** is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Art Unit: 3627

8. Claim 1 recites the limitation "a server configured for receiving the request and enabling the purchaser to locate a retail network node at which the requested item is available, the node being provided outside a local retail network corresponding to the point of sale selected for delivery, a path being arranged for routing the item from the node to the selected point of sale". The limitation as phrased is viewed to be vague and indefinite because it is unclear what the applicant is referring to. It is unclear what the applicant is implying as being delivered. Also, it is unclear what the phrase "a path being arranged for routing the item from the node to the selected point of sale" is implying or limiting. Applicant is requested to construct claims eliminating ambiguity and clarifying what he is referring to.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

9. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 10. Claims 1-15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Perkowski (US Publication No. 2003/0009392 A1).
- 11. Perkowski shows a system for processing orders supported by multiple retail networks and comprising a client terminal for sending a request providing indication of an item being purchased and indication of a point of sale selected for delivery of the

Art Unit: 3627

item, together with an identifier of a purchaser (Abstract), the system comprising: a server configured for receiving the request and enabling the purchaser to locate a retail network node at which the requested item is available, the node being provided outside a local retail network corresponding to the point of sale selected for delivery, a path being arranged for routing the item from the node to the selected point of sale (Abstract, Figure 2, Paragraphs [0107], [0232], [0416], [1002]). A second server configured for arranging a return path for return of an item returned by a customer from a point of sales selected by the customer for return to a selected node (Figure 2, Paragraphs [0107], [0232]). A two-directional transfer of goods between regional node and selected node (Figure 2).

### Response to Arguments

- 12. Applicant's arguments filed on March 9, 2009 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.
- 13. Regarding Applicants arguments that 35 U.S.C. 112 second paragraph is improper for claims 1-15. In light of applicant's amendment and discussion, 112 second paragraph for claims 2-15 has been withdrawn. Rejection of Claim 1 under 112 second paragraph is still viewed to be proper. The limitation "the node being provided outside a local retail network corresponding to the point of sale selected for delivery, a path being arranged for routing the item from the node to the selected point of sale" as currently recited is still viewed to be vague. The phrase "a path being arranged for routing the

Art Unit: 3627

item from the node to the selected point of sale" renders the limitation vague because it is unclear how this feature ties into the limitation.

14. In light of applicant's choice to pursue system claims, Applicant is reminded that claims directed to an apparatus must be distinguished from the prior art in terms of structure rather than function, *In re Danly*, 263 F.2d 844, 847, 120 USPQ 528, 531 (CCPA 1959). A claim containing a "recitation with respect to the manner in which a claimed apparatus is intended to be employed does not differentiate the claimed apparatus from a prior art apparatus" if the prior art apparatus teaches all the structural limitations of the claim. *Ex parte Masham*, 2 USPQ2d 1647 (Bd Pat. App. & Inter. 1987). Thus, the structural limitations of claims 1-15 are disclosed by Perkowski as described above.

#### Conclusion

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any

Art Unit: 3627

extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Faris Almatrahi whose telephone number is (571)270-3326. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday to Friday 9:00 AM - 5:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Ryan Zeender can be reached on (571) 272-6790. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Faris Almatrahi Examiner Art Unit 3627

FA

/F. Ryan Zeender/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 3627 Application/Control Number: 10/762,339

Page 7

Art Unit: 3627